Record Nr.	UNINA9910420929403321
Autore	Zuolo Federico
Titolo	Animals, Political Liberalism and Public Reason / / by Federico Zuolo
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Palgrave Macmillan, , 2020
ISBN	3-030-49509-4
Edizione	[1st ed. 2020.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (287 pages) : illustrations
Disciplina	179.3 100
Soggetti	Ethics Political philosophy Epistemology Animal welfare Political Philosophy Animal Welfare/Animal Ethics
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Includes index.
Nota di contenuto	Chapter 1. Introduction Chapter 2. Animal Treatment as a Matter of Reasonable Disagreement Chapter 3. Public Justification and the Disagreement on Animals Chapter 4. Views on the Moral Status of Animals Chapter 5. Applying Public Justification: Interests, Principles, and Competing Reasons Chapter 6. Addressing Unlawfulness: Admissible and Non-Admissible Forms of Protest Chapter 7. Conclusion.
Sommario/riassunto	This book explores the problem of disagreement concerning the treatment of animals in a liberal society. Current laws include an unprecedented concern for animal welfare, yet disagreement remains pervasive. This issue has so far been neglected both in political philosophy and animal ethics. Although starting from disagreement has been the hallmark of many politically liberal theories, none have been devoted to the treatment of animals, and conversely, most theories in animal ethics do not take the disagreement on this issue seriously. Bridging this divide with a change of perspective, Zuolo argues that we should begin from the disagreement on the moral status of animals

1.

and the treatment we owe them. Reconstructing the epistemic nature of disagreement about animals, Zuolo proposes a novel form of public justification to find principles acceptable to all. By setting out a unified framework which honours the liberal principles of respect for diversity, a robust liberal political theory capable of dealing with diverse forms of disagreement, and even some forms of radical dissent, is achieved.