1.	Record Nr. Autore	UNINA9910409997503321 Urquidez Alberto G
	Titolo	(Re-)Defining Racism : A Philosophical Analysis / / by Alberto G. Urquidez
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Palgrave Macmillan, , 2020
	ISBN	3-030-27257-5
	Edizione	[1st ed. 2020.]
	Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xiii, 421 pages)
	Collana	African American Philosophy and the African Diaspora
	Disciplina	305.8001 305.8
	Soggetti	Social sciences - Philosophy English language African Americans Social Philosophy English African American Culture
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
	Nota di contenuto	Ch.1. Introduction: Summary of the Argument Ch.2. Introduction: Toward a Conventionalist Framework Ch. 3. Re-defining "Definition": An Argument for Conventionalism Ch. 4. Re-defining "Meaning": Defending Semantic Internalism Over Externalism Ch. 5. Re-defining "Disagreement": Rationality Without Final Solutions Ch. 6. Re- defining "Philosophical Analysis": Not Descriptive Analysis, Or Conservatism, But Pragmatic Revisionism Ch. 7. Adequacy Conditions for a Prescriptive Theory of Racism: Toward an Oppression- Centered Account Ch. 8. Racial Oppression and Grammatical Pluralism: A Critique of Jorge Garcia on Racist belief Ch. 9. Concluding Note.
	Sommario/riassunto	What is racism? is a timely question that is hotly contested in the philosophy of race. Yet disagreement about racism's nature does not begin in philosophy, but in the sociopolitical domain. Alberto G. Urquidez argues that philosophers of race have failed to pay sufficient attention to the practical considerations that prompt the question

"What is racism?" Most theorists assume that "racism" signifies a language-independent phenomenon that needs to be "discovered" by the relevant science or "uncovered" by close scrutiny of everyday usage of this term. (Re-)Defining Racism challenges this metaphysical paradigm. Urquidez develops a Wittgenstein-inspired framework that illuminates the use of terms like "definition," "meaning," "explanation of meaning," and "disagreement," for the analysis of contested normative concepts. These elucidations reveal that providing a definition of "racism" amounts to recommending a form of moral representation—a rule for the correct use of "racism." As definitional recommendations must be justified on pragmatic grounds, Urquidez takes as a starting point for justification the interests of racism's historical victims.