

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910392747903321
Autore	Tecklenburg H. Chris
Titolo	Congressional Constraint and Judicial Responses : Examining Judiciary Committee Court Curbing and Court Structuring Bills / / by H. Chris Tecklenburg
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Palgrave Pivot, , 2020
ISBN	9783030443795 3030443795
Edizione	[1st ed. 2020.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (128 pages)
Disciplina	347.7312 320
Soggetti	America - Politics and government Executive power Public law Political science American Politics Executive Politics Public Law Governance and Government
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	1. An Introduction to Separation of Powers and Bicameralism -- 2. Reported Court Curbing and Administrative Court Curbing Legislation -- 3. Reported Court Structuring Bills -- 4. Judicial Responses -- 5. Conclusions Involving the Relationship between Congress and the Courts.
Sommario/riassunto	"This book provides a novel description of conflict between the Congress and the US Supreme Court. Tecklenburg's perspective on legislative constraints on the judiciary breathes fresh air into an important and timely topic. At a time when high-stakes conflict among our national institutions is politically and socially salient, this book will be essential reading." -Tom Clark, Charles Howard Candler Professor of Political Science, Emory University, USA This book examines the

relationship between Congress and the Federal Judiciary over time. Several aspects of this separation of power dynamics are examined, including court curbing legislation, court structuring legislation, justiciability, and judicial review. Unlike prior works, this book examines this relationship from a bicameral perspective, as it is argued that there are different motivations and reasons as to why and how each chamber of Congress approaches its relationship with the federal judiciary. In addition, this book considers the role of the judiciary committee in the legislative process, as bills that were reported out of committee are examined. Several possible causes of this legislative activity and judicial responses are analyzed, including polarization, judicial review, unanimity on the court, the changing issue agenda of the Court, ideological institutional distance, and divided government. The results reveal that there are important differences with regard to how the chambers interact with the federal judiciary. H. Chris Tecklenburg is an Assistant Professor in the Political Science and International Studies department at Georgia Southern University, USA.
