

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910349548403321
Autore	Gill Emily R
Titolo	Free Exercise of Religion in the Liberal Polity : Conflicting Interpretations // by Emily R. Gill
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Palgrave Macmillan, , 2019
ISBN	9783030250379 3030250377
Edizione	[1st ed. 2019.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (309 pages)
Collana	Palgrave Studies in Religion, Politics, and Policy, , 2731-6777
Disciplina	342.0852 322.1
Soggetti	Religion and sociology Religion and politics America - Politics and government Political science Sociology of Religion Politics and Religion American Politics Political Theory
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	1. Introduction -- 2. Varieties of Neutrality -- 3. Free Exercise of Religion and Public Funds -- 4. Private Voluntary Organizations -- 5. Exemption Issues in Religious Belief and Practice -- 6. Marriage and Intimate Relationships -- 7. Conclusion. .
Sommario/riassunto	This book addresses the challenge of providing for the free exercise of religion without allowing religious exercise by some individuals and groups to impinge upon the conscientious convictions of others. State neutrality toward religion is impossible, because neutrality means inattention to religion for some, but leveling the playing field through accommodations or exemptions for others. Both formal and substantive neutrality have a place in addressing particular conflicts. One such example is public funding for religiously affiliated social

service programs, for which neither type of neutrality is satisfactory and thus some restrictions are justifiable; conversely, private voluntary organizations that do not receive direct public funding should be allowed wide latitude regarding their practices. This title also examines the expansive free exercise claims that are now made by those who argue that following the law impinges upon their beliefs, as exemplified by the ministerial exception and the Hobby Lobby and Masterpiece Cakeshop Supreme Court cases. It concludes by analyzing the relationship between neutrality and marriage as a civil status, which impacts a variety of commitment types and plural marriage.

---