

1.	Record Nr.	UNISA990000758190203316
	Autore	Italia : Camera dei deputati
	Titolo	Riaggregazione dei dati elettorali 1992 : secondo i nuovi collegi uninominali costituiti con il decreto legislativo n.536 del 1993 / Camera dei Deputati
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Roma : Quaderni di documentazione del servizio studi, 1994
	Descrizione fisica	X, 289 p. p. ; 24 cm
	Collana	Quaderni di documentazione
	Disciplina	324.630945
	Collocazione	COLL. ESO 14
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Italiano
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
2.	Record Nr.	UNINA9910337832703321
	Autore	Gless Sabine
	Titolo	Do Exclusionary Rules Ensure a Fair Trial? [[electronic resource]] : A Comparative Perspective on Evidentiary Rules // edited by Sabine Gless, Thomas Richter
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham, : Springer Nature, 2019 Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2019
	ISBN	3-030-12520-3
	Edizione	[1st ed. 2019.]
	Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (XV, 380 p. 4 illus., 1 illus. in color.)
	Collana	Ius Gentium: Comparative Perspectives on Law and Justice, , 1534-6781 ; ; 74
	Disciplina	340.9 340.2
	Soggetti	Exclusionary rule (Evidence) Fair trial Conflict of laws International criminal law Criminal law Criminal justice, Administration of Social justice Human rights Private International Law, International & Foreign Law, Comparative Law

International Criminal Law
Criminal Law and Criminal Procedure Law
Criminal Justice
Social Justice, Equality and Human Rights

Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	<p>Part I: Comparative Perspectives -- Chapter 1. The Potential to Secure a Fair Trial Through Evidence Exclusion: A Swiss Perspective -- Chapter 2. The Potential to Secure a Fair Trial Through Evidence Exclusion: A German Perspective -- Chapter 3. Regulating Interrogations and Excluding Confessions in the United States: Balancing Individual Rights and the Search for the Truth -- Chapter 4. The Potential to Secure a Fair Trial Through Evidence Exclusion: A Taiwanese Perspective -- Chapter 5. The Potential to Secure a Fair Trial Through Evidence Exclusion: A Chinese Perspective -- Chapter 6. Criminal Justice and the Exclusion of Incriminating Statements in Singapore -- Part II: Exclusionary Rules – quo vadis -- Chapter 7. The Purposes and Functions of Exclusionary Rules: A Comparative Overview -- Chapter 8. The Fair Trial Rationale for Excluding Wrongfully Obtained Evidence -- Chapter 9. Exclusionary Rule of Illegal Evidence in China: Observation from Historical and Empirical Perspectives -- Chapter 10. Securing a Fair Trial Through Exclusionary Rules: Do Theory and Practice form a Well-balanced Whole? -- Chapter 11. Exclusionary Rules – Is It Time for Change?</p>
Sommario/riassunto	<p>This open access publication discusses exclusionary rules in different criminal justice systems. It is based on the findings of a research project in comparative law with a focus on the question of whether or not a fair trial can be secured through evidence exclusion. Part I explains the legal framework in which exclusionary rules function in six legal systems: Germany, Switzerland, People's Republic of China, Taiwan, Singapore, and the United States. Part II is dedicated to selected issues identified as crucial for the assessment of exclusionary rules. These chapters highlight the delicate balance of interests required in the exclusion of potentially relevant information from a criminal trial and discusses possible approaches to alleviate the legal hurdles involved.</p>