1.	Record Nr.	UNINA9910300615203321
	Autore	Eemeren F. H. van (Frans Hendrik), <1946->
	Titolo	Argumentation Theory: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective / / by Frans H. van Eemeren
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2018
	ISBN	3-319-95381-8
	Edizione	[1st ed. 2018.]
	Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (XI, 199 p. 10 illus.)
	Collana	Argumentation Library, , 1566-7650 ; ; 33
	Disciplina	808
	Soggetti	Business ethics
		Political philosophy
		Social sciences—Philosophy
		Ethics
		Business Ethics
		Political Philosophy
		Social Philosophy
		Moral Philosophy
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
	Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
	Nota di contenuto	Chapter 1. Argumentation theory as a discipline -- Chapter 2. Building a theory of argumentation -- Chapter 3. A model of a critical discussion -- Chapter 4. Critical discussion and the identification of fallacies -- Chapter 5. Descriptive studies of argumentative discourse -- Chapter 6. Analysis as resolution-oriented reconstruction -- Chapter 7. Strategic manoeuvring in argumentative discourse -- Chapter 8. Distinguishing between different kinds of argumentative practices -- Chapter 9. Prototypical argumentative patterns -- Chapter 10. Pragma-dialectics amidst other approaches to argumentation.
	Sommario/riassunto	The book offers a compact but comprehensive introductory overview of the crucial components of argumentation theory. In presenting this overview, argumentation is consistently approached from a pragma-dialectical perspective by viewing it pragmatically as a goal-directed communicative activity and dialectically as part of a regulated critical exchange aimed at resolving a difference of opinion. As a result, the

book also systematically explains how the constitutive parts of the pragma-dialectical theory of argumentation, which are discussed in a number of separate publications, hang together. The following crucial topics are discussed: (1) argumentation theory as a discipline; (2) the meta-theoretical principles of pragma-dialectics; (3) the model of a critical discussion aimed at resolving a difference of opinion; (4) fallacies as violations of a code of conduct for reasonable argumentative discourse; (5) descriptive research of argumentative reality; (6) analysis as theoretically-motivated reconstruction; (7) strategic manoeuvring aimed at combining achieving effectiveness with maintaining reasonableness; (8) the conventionalization of argumentative practices; (9) prototypical argumentative patterns; (10) pragma-dialectics amidst other approaches. Argumentation Theory: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective is clearly written and makes argumentation theory understandable to all scholars and advanced students interested in argumentation research.