

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910300047903321
Autore	Popa Liliana E
Titolo	Patterns of Treaty Interpretation as Anti-Fragmentation Tools : A Comparative Analysis with a Special Focus on the ECtHR, WTO and ICJ / by Liliana E. Popa
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2018
ISBN	3-319-65488-8
Edizione	[1st ed. 2018.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (XXIV, 379 p.)
Disciplina	341
Soggetti	International law Law—Philosophy Law Private international law Conflict of laws Trade Human rights Sources and Subjects of International Law, International Organizations Theories of Law, Philosophy of Law, Legal History Private International Law, International & Foreign Law, Comparative Law International Economic Law, Trade Law Human Rights
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	1. Introduction -- 2. Does Proliferation of International Judicial Bodies Lead to the Fragmentation Law? -- 3. Treaty Interpretation -- 4. PCIJ/ICJ Practice on Treaty Interpretation -- 5. Treaty Interpretation at the European Court of Human Rights -- 6. Treaty Interpretation at the World Trade Organization -- 7. Conclusions.
Sommario/riassunto	This book investigates whether treaty interpretation at the ECtHR and WTO, which are sometimes perceived as promoting 'self-contained' regimes, could constitute a means for unifying international law, or, conversely, might exacerbate the fragmentation of international law. In

this regard, the practice of the ICJ on treaty interpretation is used for comparison, since the ICJ has made the greatest contribution to the development and clarification of international law rules and principles. Providing a critical analysis of cases at the ICJ, ECtHR and WTO, both prior to and since the adoption of the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, the book reveals how the ECtHR and WTO apply the general rules of treaty interpretation in patterns which are similar to those used by the ICJ to address difficulties in interpreting the text of treaties. Viewed in the light of the ECtHR's and WTO's interpretative practices, both the VCLT's general rules of interpretation and the ICJ's interpretative practice serve to counteract the fragmentation of international law. .
