1. Record Nr. UNINA9910300030703321 Autore Wainwright Michael Titolo The Rational Shakespeare: Peter Ramus, Edward de Vere, and the Question of Authorship / / by Michael Wainwright Cham: .: Springer International Publishing: .: Imprint: Palgrave Pubbl/distr/stampa Macmillan, , 2018 **ISBN** 3-319-95258-7 Edizione [1st ed. 2018.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (328 pages): illustrations 822.308 Disciplina Literature - History and criticism Soggetti European literature - Renaissance, 1450-1600 Logic Literary History Early Modern and Renaissance Literature Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Chapter 1 Peter Ramus and the Basis of Logic -- Chapter 2 Thomas Smith, Edward de Vere, and William Cecil -- Chapter 3 Peter Ramus. Edward de Oxford, and the Basis of Logic -- Chapter 4 Ramus's Method -- Chapter 5 The Strengths and Weakness of Ramism -- Chapter 6 Introduction: Ramism and Game Theory -- Chapter 7 The Banker and His Player -- Chapter 8 Oxford, Ramus, and Love's Labour's Lost --Chapter 9 Oxford, Ramus, and Hamlet, Prince of Denmark -- Chapter 10 Deadlock and the Prisoner's Dilemma in King John -- Chapter 11 Assurance Games in Antony and Cleopatra (Part 1) -- Chapter 12 Assurance Games in Antony and Cleopatra (Part 2) -- Chapter 13 Chicken in King Henry V (Part 1) -- Chapter 14 Chicken in King Henry V (Part 2) -- Chapter 15 Conclusion. Sommario/riassunto The Rational Shakespeare: Peter Ramus, Edward de Vere, and the Question of Authorship examines William Shakespeare's rationality from a Ramist perspective, linking that examination to the leading intellectuals of late humanism, and extending those links to the life of

Edward de Vere, Seventeenth Earl of Oxford. The application to

Shakespeare's plays and sonnets of a game-theoretic hermeneutic, an

interpretive approach that Ramism suggests but ultimately evades, strengthens these connections in further supporting the Oxfordian answer to the question of Shakespearean authorship.