

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910255338303321
Autore	Walton Doug
Titolo	Argument Evaluation and Evidence // by Douglas Walton
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2016
ISBN	3-319-19626-X
Edizione	[1st ed. 2016.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (297 p.)
Collana	Law, Governance and Technology Series, , 2352-1902 ; ; 23
Disciplina	100
Soggetti	Political science Mass media Law Artificial intelligence Intel·ligència artificial - Dret i legislació Semantics Semàntica (Filosofia) Prova (Dret) Lògica jurídica Education—Philosophy Educació - Filosofia Philosophy of Law IT Law, Media Law, Intellectual Property Artificial Intelligence Educational Philosophy
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Acknowledgments -- Chapter 1: Introduction to Argument and Explanation -- Chapter 2: Inference to the Best Explanation -- Chapter 3: A Dialogue System for Evaluating Explanations -- Chapter 4: Evaluating Expert Opinion Evidence -- Chapter 5: Attribution of a Painting to Leonardo da Vinci -- Chapter 6: Argument from Correlation to Causation -- Chapter 7: Knowledge and Inquiry -- Chapter 8: Evidence and Argument Evaluation.- index.

This monograph poses a series of key problems of evidential reasoning and argumentation. It then offers solutions achieved by applying recently developed computational models of argumentation made available in artificial intelligence. Each problem is posed in such a way that the solution is easily understood. The book progresses from confronting these problems and offering solutions to them, building a useful general method for evaluating arguments along the way. It provides a hands-on survey explaining to the reader how to use current argumentation methods and concepts that are increasingly being implemented in more precise ways for the application of software tools in computational argumentation systems. It shows how the use of these tools and methods requires a new approach to the concepts of knowledge and explanation suitable for diverse settings, such as issues of public safety and health, debate, legal argumentation, forensic evidence, science education, and the use of expert opinion evidence in personal and public deliberations.
