

1. Record Nr.	UNINA990000779270403321
Autore	Marcato, Renzo
Titolo	Disegno e progettazione / Renzo Marcato
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Bologna : Calderini, 1993
Edizione	[2.ed. 3.rist.]
Descrizione fisica	499 p. : in gran parte dis. ; 30 cm
Disciplina	720.222
Locazione	FARBC
Collocazione	TECN C 322
Lingua di pubblicazione	Italiano
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910397951903321
Autore	Al-Faruqi Ismail R. <1921-1986, >
Titolo	Isma'il Al Faruqi : Selected Essays // Ismail R. Al-Faruqi
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Surrey : , : International Institute of Islamic Thought, , 2018
ISBN	1-64205-207-8
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (x, 98 pages)
Disciplina	297.28
Soggetti	Islam
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia

3. Record Nr.	UNINA9910255213103321
Autore	Azzouni Jody
Titolo	The Rule-Following Paradox and its Implications for Metaphysics // by Jody Azzouni
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2017
ISBN	9783319490618
Edizione	[1st ed. 2017.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (VIII, 128 p.)
Collana	Synthese Library, Studies in Epistemology, Logic, Methodology, and Philosophy of Science, , 2542-8292 ; ; 382
Disciplina	149.94 410.1
Soggetti	Language and languages - Philosophy Ontology Metaphysics Philosophy of Language
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	1. General Introduction -- 2. Kripke's version of Wittgenstein's paradox and his solution -- 3. Two versions of Robinson Crusoe -- 4. Reference Magnetism -- 5. How Positive Success Curves Enable Private Rule Following -- 6. Truth and Falsity Attributions and Truth-Conditional Semantics in Private Languages -- 7. Correspondence Metaphysics and the Cogency of a God's Eye View.
Sommario/riassunto	This monograph presents Azzouni's new approach to the rule-following paradox. His solution leaves intact an isolated individual's capacity to follow rules, and it simultaneously avoids replacing the truth conditions for meaning-talk with mere assertability conditions for that talk. Kripke's influential version of Wittgenstein's rule-following paradox—and Wittgenstein's views more generally—on the contrary, make rule-following practices and assertions about those practices subject to community norms without which they lose their cogency. Azzouni summarizes and develops Kripke's original version of Wittgenstein's rule-following paradox to make salient the linchpin assumptions of the paradox. By doing so, Azzouni reveals how compelling Kripke's earlier work on the paradox was. Objections raised

over the years by Fodor, Forbes Ginsborg, Goldfarb, Tait, Wright, and many others, are all shown to fail. No straight solution (a solution that denies an assumption of the paradox) can be made to work. Azzouni illustrates this in detail by showing that a popular family of straight solutions due to Lewis and refined by Williams, “reference magnetism,” fail as well. And yet an overlooked sceptical solution is still available in logical space. Azzouni describes a series of “disposition-meaning” private languages that he shows can be successfully used by a population of speakers to communicate with one another despite their ideolectical character. The same sorts of languages enable solitary “Robinson Crusoes” to survive and flourish in their island habitats. These languages—sufficiently refined—have the same properties normal human languages have; and this is the key to solving the rule-following paradox without sacrificing the individual’s authority over her self-imposed rules or her ability to follow those rules. Azzouni concludes this unusual monograph by uncovering a striking resemblance between the rule-following paradox and Hume’s problem of induction: he shows the rule-following paradox to be a corollary of Hume’s problem that arises when the problem of induction is applied to an individual’s own abilities to follow rules. Depressingly well-argued.
