

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910255206403321
Autore	Craig William Lane
Titolo	God and abstract objects : the coherence of theism : aseity / / William Lane Craig
Pubbl/distr/stampa	[Cham, Switzerland] : , : Springer, , [2017] 2017
ISBN	3-319-55384-4
Edizione	[1st ed. 2017.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xv, 540 pages) : illustrations
Collana	Gale eBooks
Disciplina	210
Soggetti	Theism God Object (Philosophy)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Part 1. The Problematic -- Chapter 1. Introduction -- Chapter 2. Theology Proper and Abstract Objects -- Chapter 3. The Indispensability Argument for Platonism -- Part 2. Realist Solutions -- Chapter 4. Absolute Creationism -- Chapter 5. Non-Platonic Realism -- Part 3. Anti-Realist Solutions -- Chapter 6. Alternative Logics and Semantics -- Chapter 7. Fictionalism -- Chapter 8. Ultima Facie Interpretive Strategies -- Chapter 9. Pretense Theory -- Chapter 10. Neo-Meinongianism -- Chapter 11. Neutralism -- Part 4. Conclusion -- Chapter 12. Concluding Remarks.
Sommario/riassunto	This book is an exploration and defense of the coherence of classical theism's doctrine of divine aseity in the face of the challenge posed by Platonism with respect to abstract objects. A synoptic work in analytic philosophy of religion, the book engages discussions in philosophy of mathematics, philosophy of language, metaphysics, and metaontology. It addresses absolute creationism, non-Platonic realism, fictionalism, neutralism, and alternative logics and semantics, among other topics. The book offers a helpful taxonomy of the wide range of options available to the classical theist for dealing with the challenge of Platonism. It probes in detail the diverse views on the reality of abstract objects and their compatibility with classical theism. It contains a most

thorough discussion, rooted in careful exegesis, of the biblical and patristic basis of the doctrine of divine aseity. Finally, it challenges the influential Quinean metaontological theses concerning the way in which we make ontological commitments.
