1. Record Nr. UNINA9910220155903321

Autore Lorell Mark A

Titolo Do joint fighter programs save money

Pubbl/distr/stampa [Place of publication not identified], : Rand, 2013

ISBN 0-8330-8488-7

Disciplina 358.4/183

Soggetti F-35 (Military aircraft) - Costs - United States

Fighter planes
Life cycle costing

Air Forces

Military & Naval Science Law, Politics & Government

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Note generali Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph

Nota di contenuto Introduction -- Historical Joint Fighter and Other Joint Aircraft

Programs: Analysis of Savings and Costs -- Joint Strike Fighter
Program: Analysis of Savings and Costs -- Additional Implications of

Joint Aircraft Programs -- Conclusions.

Sommario/riassunto In the past 50 years, the U.S. Department of Defense has pursued

numerous joint aircraft programs, the largest and most recent of which is the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter (JSF). Joint aircraft programs are thought to reduce Life Cycle Cost (LCC) by eliminating duplicate research,

development, test, and evaluation efforts and by realizing economies of scale in procurement, operations, and support. But the need to accommodate different service requirements in a single design or common design family can lead to greater program complexity,

increased technical risk, and common functionality or increased weight in excess of that needed for some variants, potentially leading to

higher overall cost, despite these efficiencies. To help Air Force leaders (and acquisition decisionmakers in general) select an appropriate acquisition strategy for future combat aircraft, this report analyzes the costs and savings of joint aircraft acquisition programs. The project team examined whether historical joint aircraft programs have saved

LCC compared with single-service programs. In addition, the project team assessed whether JSF is on track to achieving the joint savings originally anticipated at the beginning of full-scale development. Also examined were the implications of joint fighter programs for the health of the industrial base and for operational and strategic risk.