Record Nr. UNINA9910220105303321 Autore Dertouzos James N. <1950-> Titolo Performance evaluation and Army recruiting / / James N. Dertouzos, Steven Garber Pubbl/distr/stampa Santa Monica, CA, : Rand Arroyo Center, 2008 1-281-73644-9 **ISBN** 9786611736446 0-8330-4582-2 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (127 p.) Collana Rand Corporation monograph series Altri autori (Persone) GarberSteven <1950-> 355.2/23 Disciplina Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali "MG-562-A"--P. [4] of cover. Includes bibliographical references (p. [101]). Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Cover; Preface; Contents; Figure and Tables; Summary; Acknowledgments: Abbreviations: Chapter One - Introduction: Chapter Two - Models of Recruiter Effort, Market Quality, and Enlistment Supply; A Model with a Single Type of Contract; A Model Distinguishing the Three Missioned ContractTypes; A Conceptually Grounded, Econometrically BasedPerformance Measure: Chapter Three - Data and Econometric Estimates of Contract-Production Models; Data; Estimates for the Graduate, Senior, and "Other" ContractModel; Estimates for a Model Distinguishing Men and Women Chapter Four - Empirical Analysis of Performance MeasuresChapter Five - Choosing Performance Windows and Organizational Units for Evaluation: Using the Performance Window to Control for RandomOutcomes; The Use of Station Versus Individual PerformanceEvaluation: Chapter Six - Conclusions: Summary of Results: Implications for Policy: Appendix A - Allocation of Recruiter Effort: Implications of aMicroeconomic Model; Appendix B - Recruiter Behavior in the Face of Risk; References Sommario/riassunto Designing and implementing performance metrics that support Army goals requires analysis of how different metrics would affect recruiter behavior and, in turn, recruiters' contributions toward achieving the Army's goals. The authors evaluate traditional performance metrics, such as number of contracts signed per month per recruiter, and find

that they do not adequately measure recruiter effort, skill, and productivity. They then develop a ""preferred performance metric"" that takes into account the difficulty of recruiting different types of youth in various markets. Using a performance metric