

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910220101503321
Autore	Porche Isaac <1968->
Titolo	Redefining information warfare boundaries for an Army in a wireless world // Isaac R. Porche III ... [et al.]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Santa Monica, Calif., : RAND, [2013]
ISBN	0-8330-7886-0
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (240 p.)
Collana	Rand Corporation monograph series
Altri autori (Persone)	PaulChristopher <1971->
Disciplina	355.3/43
Soggetti	Information warfare - United States Military doctrine - United States Computer networks - Security measures - United States - Planning Cyberspace - Security measures - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	"Arroyo Center." "Prepared for the United States Army."
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 135-142).
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Title Page; Copyright; Preface; Contents; Figures; Tables; Summary; Acknowledgments; Abbreviations; CHAPTER ONE Introduction; Background; The Army's Role in Cyberspace; What Is Cyberspace?; Environments; Domains; Information Environment; Cyberspace Defined; Cyber-Electromagnetic/Cyber-Electronic Operations; Purpose; Approach; How This Monograph Is Organized; CHAPTER TWO The Information Environment and Information Warfare; The Information Environment; The U.S. Department of Defense View of the Information Environment; Components of the Information Environment; Information Warfare A Definition Debate over the Term; Functional Areas That Compose Information Warfare; The Terms Cyber-Electronic and Cyber-Electromagnetic; CHAPTER THREE The Problem with Information Operations; How Information Operations Are Defined; How Information Operations Are Organized in the Army; Problems with the Current Definition; Misunderstandings and Disagreements; Problems with the Current Organization; Lack of Common Vision for Information Operations; Vision 1: Base Case; Vision 2: Information Operations as a Coordinating and Integrating Function

Vision 3: Information Operations as Command-and-Control Warfare  
Vision 4: Information Operations as Influence Operations; Vision 5:  
Information Operations as Advocacy; Vision 6: Information Operations  
as Everything; Information Operations as a Moving Target; CHAPTER  
FOUR Redefining and Reorganizing Information Operations; Key  
Questions and Answers to Guide a Redefinition of Information  
Operations; Discussion on the Role of IO; Confusion over the Terms  
Operation and Integration; The Need for Advocacy; What Is Being  
Integrated, Advocated, or Executed?; Key Capabilities Need to Be  
Included  
Avoid Long Lists of Capabilities to Define IO Arguments Can Be Made  
That IO Is Everything; Discussion of Possible Ends to Be Sought; The  
List of Relevant Capabilities Remains Too Long to Be Useful; Pros and  
Cons of a Narrow Set of Ends; Information Tasks as Potential Ends;  
Influence as an End; Command-and-Control Warfare; A Proposed  
Definition of Information Operations; Proposed Definition; IIO and ITO:  
Compartmentalizing the Definition; CHAPTER FIVE How Electronic  
Warfare Overlaps with Other Areas; Analysis of Electronic Warfare and  
Electromagnetic Spectrum Operations  
Relationship of Intelligence Capabilities to Electronic Warfare and  
Cyberspace Operations Signals Intelligence, Electronic Warfare, and  
Electromagnetic Spectrum Operations; Electronic Warfare and Signals  
Intelligence; Relationship Between Electronic Warfare and Cyber  
Operations; Approach: A System Consideration of Cyber Operations;  
Electronic Warfare as Fielded Today; Chapter Summary and  
Conclusions; CHAPTER SIX Overlaps Between Public Affairs and Military  
Information Support Operations; Comparing Public Affairs and Military  
Information Support Operations; Public Affairs  
Military Information Support Operations

---

#### Sommario/riassunto

The U.S. Army is studying ways to apply its cyber power and is reconsidering doctrinally defined areas that are integral to cyberspace operations. An examination of network operations, information operations, and several other, more focused areas across the U.S. military found significant overlap and potential boundary progression that could inform the development of future Army doctrine.

---