

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910219986503321
Titolo	Building partner capabilities for coalition operations // Jennifer D.P. Moroney ... [et al.]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Santa Monica, Calif., : Rand Corp., 2007
ISBN	1-281-43012-9 9786611430122
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (123 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	MoroneyJennifer D. P. <1973->
Disciplina	355.4/6
Soggetti	Combined operations (Military science) Multinational armed forces - Organization Military planning - United States United States Military relations
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	"Prepared for the United States Army. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited."
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 97-100).
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Preface; Contents; Figures; Tables; Summary; Acknowledgments; Abbreviations; Chapter One - Introduction; Study Objectives; Approach; Organization of the Monograph; Chapter Two - The Challenge of Building Partner Capability and Capacity: Theory and Practice; Assumptions and Theory; The Challenge of Developing Metrics15; The Importance of Train and Equip Programs for U.S.Army Planning; Conclusion; Chapter Three - Identifying U.S. Army Capability Gaps for Coalition Operations; Strategic-Level Guidance Documents; Army Capabilities Studies Army Capability Gaps: A Composite, Illustrative ListConclusion; Chapter Four - Matching U.S. Army Capability Gaps to Candidate Partner Armies; Approach; Illustrating the Process; Conclusion; Chapter Five - Conclusions and Recommendations; Appendix A - Illustrative Train and Equip Programs; Appendix B - Explanation of Capability Gaps; Appendix C - Coalition Partner Contributions to U.S.-Led Operations; Bibliography
Sommario/riassunto	Ongoing operations and emerging mission requirements place a heavy burden on Army resources, resulting in capability gaps that the Army is

unable to fill by itself. This report argues that one way to fill those gaps is by building the appropriate capabilities in allies and partner armies through focused security cooperation. It argues that U.S. Army planners need a more comprehensive understanding of the types of capability gaps that partner armies might fill and a process for matching those gaps with candidate partner armies. The report begins by providing a theoretical context for building p
