Record Nr. UNINA9910171015403321 Autore Richards Graham **Titolo** Race, racism, and psychology: towards a reflexive history / / Graham Richards London;; New York:,: Routledge,, 1997 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-134-85375-0 1-134-85376-9 0-415-10141-7 1-280-04961-8 0-203-35985-2 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (393 p.) Disciplina 155.8/2 305.80019 Racism in psychology Soggetti Psychology Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. [319]-352) and indexes. Nota di contenuto Book Cover; Title; Contents; Preface; Introduction; The pre-evolutionary background and the roots of Scientific Racism; Psychology and 'Scientific Racism' 1860-1910; An imperial interlude: the Cambridge Torres Straits Expedition and its aftermath; 'Race' in US Psychology to 1945: I. The rise and nature of 'Race Psychology'; 'Race' in US Psychology to 1945: II. The rise of anti-racism: 'Race' in European Psychology to 1940: I. Primitive minds and Aryan supermen; 'Race' in European Psychology to 1940: II. Its presence and absence in British Psvchology Racism at bay: Psychology and 'race' 1945-69Race and IQ 1969-96: An undead controversy; Bringing it all back home; Resume; Appendix: Comments on J.P.Rushton's table of 'Mongoloid', 'Caucasoid', 'Negroid' rankings; Bibliography; T.R.Garth bibliography; Name index; Subject index Topics with racial implications have been hotly debated in the Sommario/riassunto

psychological literature for most of this century and are often in the news. Graham Richards takes a historical look at how the concepts of

""race"" and ""racism"" emerged within the discipline and charts the underlying premises of some famous studies in their social and political contexts. No-one is allowed to be objective in this arena, as opponents will always argue that they are not. This account is bound therefore to be controversial and excite interest whether or not readers agree with Richards' stance.