

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910169181203321
Autore	Liebersohn Yosef Z
Titolo	The dispute concerning rhetoric in Hellenistic thought [[electronic resource] /] / Yosef Z. Liebersohn
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Gottingen, : Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, c2010
ISBN	3-666-25294-X 1-280-98500-3 9786613756619 3-647-25294-8
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (226 p.)
Collana	Hypomnemata, , 0085-1671 ; ; Bd. 185
Disciplina	808.00938 880.9353
Soggetti	Rhetoric, Ancient Philosophy, Ancient
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Title Page; Copyright; Preface; Table of Contents; Body; 1. Introduction; 1.1. Foreword; 1.2. Methodology; 1.3. A Survey of the Sources; 1.3.1. Cicero; 1.3.2. Philodemus; 1.3.3. Quintilian; 1.3.4. Sextus Empiricus; 1.3.5. The Prolegomena Literature; 2. The Background to the Debate; 2.1. Preliminary Considerations; 2.2. The Philosophical Schools and Rhetoric; 2.2.1. The Epicureans; 2.2.2. The Peripatetics; 2.2.3. The Stoics; 2.2.4. The Academics; 2.3. The Historical Background; 2.4. Common Assumptions in the Secondary Literature; 2.4.1. Ludwig Radermacher; 2.4.2. Harry Hubbell 2.4.3. Leeman and Pinkster 2.4.4. Jonathan Barnes; 2.4.5. Reinhardt and Winterbottom; 2.5. The Present Study; 3. The Exclusivity of Teaching Argument; 3.1. General Points; 3.2. The Sources; 3.3. The Testimony of Cicero; 3.3.1. The Arguments; 3.3.2. The Target of the Arguments; 3.4. The Testimony of Sextus Empiricus; 3.4.1. The Arguments; 3.4.2. The Target of the Arguments; 3.5. The Testimony of Quintilian; 3.5.1. The Arguments; 3.5.2. The Target of the Arguments; 3.6. The Testimony of Philodemus; 3.6.1. The Arguments; 3.6.2. The Target of the Arguments; 3.7. Conclusion; 4. The Falsa Argument

4.1. General Points; 4.2. The Sources; 4.2.1. The Testimony of Quintilian; 4.2.2. The Testimony of Sextus Empiricus; 4.3. The Falsa Argument; 4.3.1. The (Self)Deceiving Orator Argument; 4.3.2. The Rhetoric as Fraud Argument; 4.4. The Target of the Arguments; 4.5. Conclusion; 5. The Benefit Argument; 5.1. General Points; 5.2. Quintilian and the Benefit Argument; 5.2.1. Quintilian, II. ch.16 (Description); 5.2.2. Quintilian, II. ch.16 (Analysis); 5.3. Sextus Empiricus and the Benefit Argument; 5.3.1. Sextus Empiricus, II. 20-47; 5.3.2. The Expulsion Argument; 5.3.3. The General Harm Argument; 5.4. Kakotechnia; 5.4.1. Sextus Empiricus, II. 12; 5.4.2. Kakotechnia - sources; 5.5. Two Problems; 5.5.1. pro falsis contra veritatem valere; 5.5.2. The Expulsion Argument in Critolaus' Version; 5.6. Conclusion; 6. The End Argument; 6.1. The Sources; 6.2. Introduction to the End Argument; 6.3. The Exclusivity of Attaining the End Argument; 6.4. The Exclusivity of the End Argument; 6.4.1. Analysis of the Exclusivity of the End Argument; 6.4.2. Analysis of the Exclusivity of the End Criticism; 6.4.3. The Surveys and their Sources; 6.5. Lucian; 6.6. Conclusion; 7. The Materia Argument; 7.1. The Sources; 7.2. Quintilian, II. ch.15-21; 7.3. Quintilian, II. ch.21; 7.4. Sextus Empiricus, II. 48-59; 7.5. The Sources for the Arguments; 7.6. Conclusion; 8. Summary; Bibliography; Index Locorum; Index Nominum (Antiquorum et Recentiorum); Index Rerum Notabiliorum; Back Cover

Sommario/riassunto

Hauptbeschreibung Diese Studie rekonstruiert die hellenistische philosophische Debatte über die Rhetorik. In der heterogenen Quellenlage gelingt es Liebersohn, zwei Phasen in den Debatten um den Wert der Rhetorik und zwei Typen von Debatten zu unterscheiden: eine innerphilosophische und eine zwischen Philosophen und Rhetoren. Zunächst wird das genaue Umfeld dieses Disputs untersucht, also die Orte, Daten und Personen. Im Anschluss werden fünf Hauptargumente gegen Rhetorik rekonstruiert, die alle vom Anspruch der Rhetorik, zu den Künsten zu gehören, ausgehen. Diese fünf Geg
