

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910780897003321  |
| Autore                  | Margolis Stacey <1966->  |
| Titolo                  | The public life of privacy in nineteenth-century American literature<br>[[electronic resource] /] / Stacey Margolis  |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | Durham, : Duke University Press, 2005  |
| ISBN                    | 0-8223-3536-0  |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (247 p.)   |
| Collana                 | New Americanists   |
| Disciplina              | 813/.309353  |
| Soggetti                | American fiction - 19th century - History and criticism<br>Privacy in literature<br>Literature and society - United States - History - 19th century<br>Intimacy (Psychology) in literature<br>Public opinion in literature<br>Personal space in literature<br>Social values in literature<br>Individualism in literature |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese  |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa   |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia   |
| Note generali           | Description based upon print version of record.  |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references (p. [197]-229) and index.  |
| Nota di contenuto       | Discipline and punish -- Race and the law -- The public life.  |
| Sommario/riassunto      | Challenges the familiar way of reading a major strain of 19th century American literature. Rather than seeing this strain as preoccupied with a subject's inner mental life, it shows that subjects can only be understood, and understand themselves, through   |

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| 2. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910163883103321   |
| Autore                  | Enemark Christian   |
| Titolo                  | Biosecurity Dilemmas : Dreaded Diseases, Ethical Responses, and the Health of Nations // Christian Enemark  |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | Washington, District of Columbia : , : Georgetown University Press, , 2017<br>©2017   |
| ISBN                    | 9781626164055<br>1626164053   |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (226 pages) : illustrations, tables   |
| Disciplina              | 174.2944  |
| Soggetti                | National security<br>Public health - Moral and ethical aspects<br>Biological arms control<br>Communicable diseases<br>Bioterrorism - Prevention<br>Biosecurity - Moral and ethical aspects<br>Biosecurity<br>Electronic books.  |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese   |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa  |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia  |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references and index.  |
| Nota di contenuto       | Protect or proliferate. Biodefense and the security dilemma ; Vertical proliferation and threats from within -- Secure or stifle. Laboratory biosecurity ; Export and publication controls -- Remedy or overkill. Social distancing and national security ; Border security and transnational contagion -- Attention or neglect. The agenda of global health security ; Public health and biodefense priorities.  |
| Sommario/riassunto      | Biosecurity Dilemmas examines conflicting values and interests in the practice of "biosecurity," the safeguarding of populations against infectious diseases through security policies. Biosecurity encompasses both the natural occurrence of deadly disease outbreaks and the deliberate or accidental release of biological weapons. Enemark focuses on six dreaded diseases that are given high-priority by governments and international organizations for research, regulation, surveillance, |

and rapid response: pandemic influenza, drug-resistant tuberculosis, smallpox, Ebola virus, bubonic plague, and anthrax. The book is organized around four ethical dilemmas that arise when fear causes these diseases to be framed in terms of national or international security: protect or proliferate, secure or stifle, remedy or overkill, and attention or neglect. For instance, will prioritizing research into defending against a rare event such as a bioterrorist attack divert funds away from research into commonly occurring diseases? Or will securitizing a particular disease actually stifle research progress due to security classification measures? Enemark provides a comprehensive analysis of the ethics of securitizing disease and explores ideas and policy recommendations about biological arms control, global health security, and public health ethics.

---