

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910161650603321
Autore	Müßig Ulrike
Titolo	Reconsidering Constitutional Formation I National Sovereignty : A Comparative Analysis of the Juridification by Constitution // edited by Ulrike Müßig
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2016
ISBN	9783319424057 331942405X
Edizione	[1st ed. 2016.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (XIII, 284 p. 1 illus. in color.)
Collana	Studies in the History of Law and Justice, , 2198-9850 ; ; 6
Classificazione	LAW018000LAW060000LAW079000
Disciplina	342
Soggetti	Constitutional law Law - History Law - Philosophy Constitutional Law Legal History Theories of Law, Philosophy of Law, Legal History
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	Juridification by Constitution. National Sovereignty in the 18th and 19th c. Europe; Ulrike Müßig -- National sovereignty in the Belgian Constitution of 1831. On the meaning(s) of article 25; Brecht Deseure -- The Omnipotence of Parliament in the legitimisation process of 'representative government' during the Albertine Statute (1848-1861); Giuseppe Mecca -- Sovereignty Issue in the Public Discussion in the Era of the Polish 3rd of May Constitution; Anna Tarnowska -- Appendix: English translation of the Statute 'Our free Royal Cities in the States of Rzeczpospolita' of April 18, 1791 by Ulrike Müßig and Max Bärnreuther, together with Inge Bily -- About the Authors -- Index . .
Sommario/riassunto	Legal studies and consequently legal history focus on constitutional documents, believing in a nominalist autonomy of constitutional semantics.Reconsidering Constitutional Formation in the late 18th and 19th century, kept historic constitutions from being simply log-books for political experts through a functional approach to the

interdependencies between constitution and public discourse. Sovereignty had to be 'believed' by the subjects and the political élites. Such a communicative orientation of constitutional processes became palpable in the 'religious' affinities of the constitutional preambles. They were held as 'creeds' of a new order, not only due to their occasional recourse to divine authority, but rather due to the claim for eternal validity contexts of constitutional guarantees. The communication dependency of constitutions was of less concern in terms of the preamble than the constituents' big worries about government organisation. Their indecisiveness between monarchical and popular sovereignty was established through the discrediting of the Republic in the Jacobean reign of terror and the 'renaissance' of the monarchy in the military resistance against the French revolutionary and later Napoleonic campaigns. The constitutional formation as a legal act of constituting could therefore defend the monarchy from the threat of the people (Albertine Statute 1848), could be a legal decision of a national constituent assembly (Belgian Constitution 1831), could borrow from the old liberties (Polish May Constitution 1791) or try to remain in between by referring to the Nation as sovereign (French September Constitution 1791, Cádiz Constitution 1812). Common to all contexts is the use of national sovereignty as a legal starting point. The consequent differentiation between constituent and constituted power manages to justify the self-commitment of political power in legal terms. National sovereignty is the synonym for the juridification of sovereignty by means of the constitution. The novelty of the constitutions of the late 18th and 19th century is the normativity, the positivity of the constitutional law as one unified law, to be the measure for the legality of all other law. Therefore ReConFort will continue with the precedence of constitution. (www.reconfort.eu).
