

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910158774503321
Autore	Hill Major James R
Titolo	A Comparative Analysis Of The Military Leadership Styles Of Ernest J. King And Chester W. Nimitz
Pubbl/distr/stampa	San Francisco : , : Verdun Press, , 2015 ©2015
ISBN	9781786250490 1786250497
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (57 pages)
Soggetti	Admirals - United States Leadership - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	Intro -- TABLE OF CONTENTS -- Abstract -- Acknowledgements -- Introduction -- Small Town Upbringing, Military Education, and Religion -- King's Small Town Upbringing and Military Training at the US Naval Academy -- Nimitz's Small Town Upbringing and Military Training at the US Naval Academy -- The Importance of Religion in Leadership -- The Importance of Religion in King's Leadership Style -- The Importance of Religion in Nimitz's Leadership Style -- Leadership Styles -- King's Military Leadership Style -- Nimitz's Military Leadership Style -- Conclusions -- Bibliography -- Primary Sources -- Books -- Secondary Sources -- Books -- Theses/Articles/Lectures.
Sommario/riassunto	This book, authored by MAJ James R. Hill, offers a comparative analysis of the military leadership styles of Admirals Ernest J. King and Chester W. Nimitz, key figures in World War II. It explores their upbringing, military education, and the role of religion in shaping their leadership approaches. The work contrasts King's harsh and morally questionable leadership style with Nimitz's approach, closely aligned with the values of other celebrated military leaders like Generals George C. Marshall and Dwight D. Eisenhower. This analysis serves as a case study for developing future military leaders, emphasizing the importance of aligning military training with core American values and moral integrity.

It provides insights for prospective leaders to reflect on and refine their own leadership styles, highlighting the need for competence coupled with ethical conduct in military leadership.
