

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910787614203321
Autore	Godfrey Paul C
Titolo	More than money : five forms of capital to create wealth and eliminate poverty // Paul C. Godfrey
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Stanford, California : , : Stanford University Press, , [2014] ©2014
ISBN	0-8047-8920-7
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (240 p.)
Disciplina	658.15/2
Soggetti	Capital Economic development Organization Poverty Self-reliance Social capital (Sociology)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Introduction : eliminating, not alleviating poverty -- More than money -- Self-reliance : the mechanism that eliminates poverty -- Part I. The five types of capital. Institutional capital : yarn-dyed cloth -- Social capital : a double-edged sword -- Human capital : the heart of the matter -- Organizational capital : power from simple machines -- Physical capital : the last puzzle piece -- Part II. Creating effective organizations. Mission and vision : leading the fight with values -- Ecosystems of development : systems to fight a system -- Measuring impact : are we winning? -- Eudemonia : human flourishing and the end of poverty.
Sommario/riassunto	Is poverty inevitable? No, says author Paul Godfrey. More than Money shows how organizations can win the fight against poverty and create prosperity for people at the base of the pyramid in the developing and developed world. This book presents a novel framework that shows how five types of interrelated capital—institutional, human, social, organizational, and physical—enable development and sustainable growth. In addition to a widely-applicable model, Godfrey provides

principles to guide application. Core chapters articulate each specific form of capital and provide examples of how it contributes to the triple bottom line. Not just a theoretical examination of poverty, More than Money delivers timely advice to organizations that produce goods and services, implement policies, and create meaningful change on the ground. This book will guide social innovators and entrepreneurs in business, government, and civil society settings as they create a vision, assemble a team of strong partners, and effectively measure social innovation.

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910155270803321
Autore	Fischer Bob
Titolo	Modal Justification via Theories // by Bob Fischer
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2017
ISBN	3-319-49127-X
Edizione	[1st ed. 2017.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (x, 135 pages)
Collana	Synthese Library, Studies in Epistemology, Logic, Methodology, and Philosophy of Science, , 0166-6991 ; ; 380
Disciplina	190.904
Soggetti	Knowledge, Theory of Metaphysics Philosophy and science Epistemology Philosophy of Science
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Chapter 1. A Theory-based Epistemology of Modality -- Chapter 2. TEM's Details -- Chapter 3. Objections and Clarifications -- Chapter 4. Theory Selection -- Chapter 5. TEM and Modal Skepticism -- Chapter 6. Against Optimism -- Chapter 7. TEM and the Theoretical Virtues.
Sommario/riassunto	This monograph articulates and defends a theory-based epistemology of modality (TEM). According to TEM, someone justifiably believe an interesting modal claim if and only if (a) she justifiably believes a theory

according to which that claim is true, (b) she believes that claim on the basis of that theory, and (c) she has no defeaters for her belief in that claim. The book has two parts. In the first, the author motivates TEM, sets out the view in detail, and defends it against a number of objections. In the second, the author considers whether TEM is worth accepting. To argue that it is, the author sets out criteria for choosing between modal epistemologies, concluding that TEM has a number of important virtues. However, the author also concedes that TEM is cautious: it probably implies that we are not justified in believing some interesting modal claims that we might take ourselves to be justified in believing. This raises a question about TEM's relationship to Peter van Inwagen's modal skepticism, which the author explores in detail. As it turns out, TEM offers a better route to modal skepticism than the one that van Inwagen provides. But rather than being a liability, the author argues that this is a further advantage of the view. Moreover, he argues that other popular modal epistemologies do not fare better: they cannot easily secure more extensive modal justification than TEM. The book concludes by clarifying TEM's relationship to the other modal epistemologies on offer, contending that TEM need not be a rival to those views, but can instead be a supplement to them.

---