Record Nr. UNINA9910151579603321 Autore Baker Dana Lee Titolo Neuroethics in Higher Education Policy / / by Dana Lee Baker, Brandon Leonard Pubbl/distr/stampa New York:,: Palgrave Macmillan US:,: Imprint: Palgrave Macmillan,, 2017 **ISBN** 1-137-59020-3 Edizione [1st ed. 2017.] 1 online resource (XI, 183 p.) Descrizione fisica Disciplina 320.6 Soggetti Political planning Education and state America - Politics and government Education, Higher Educational psychology Neuropsychology Public Policy **Education Policy American Politics Higher Education Educational Psychology** Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Neuroethics and Higher Education -- History of Higher Education and Capacity Difference Admissions Process -- Tending the Gate: Admitting Students -- Teaching and Learning -- Learning Evaluation -- Peer Interactions -- Combat Acquired: Veterans and Neurological Difference -- Circumstance of Difference: Socioeconomic Status --Conclusion.

This book focuses on neuroethics in higher education in the United States. After introducing readers to the philosophical and policy

foundations of the neuroethics of higher education, this book explores essential conundrums in the neuroethical practice of higher education in modern democracies. Focusing on neuroethics from the perspective

Sommario/riassunto

of universally designed learning and policy design sets this project apart from other work in the field. Advances in neuroscience and changes in attitudes towards disability have identified mechanisms by which higher education infrastructures interact with both individuals considered neurotypical and those with identified disabilities to diminish students' capacity to enter, persist, and complete higher education. Policy to date has focused on identified disabilities as a requirement for accommodations. This strategy both underestimates the effect of ill-fitting infrastructures on those considered neurologically typical and serves to stratify the student body. As a result, neuroethical gaps abound in higher education.