1.	Record Nr.	UNINA9910140801403321
	Autore	Foulger Gillian R
	Titolo	Plates vs Plumes [[electronic resource]] : A Geological Controversy
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Hoboken, : Wiley, 2010
	ISBN	1-282-77457-3
		9786612774577
		1-4443-2486-1
		1-4443-2487-X
	Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (362 p.)
	Disciplina	551.116
		551.21
	Soggetti	Mantle plumes
		Plate tectonics
		Geology
		Earth & Environmental Sciences
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
	Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
	Nota di contenuto	Plates vs. Plumes: A Geological Controversy; Contents; Preface; 1: From plate tectonics to plumes, and back again; 1.1 Volcanoes, and exceptional volcanoes; 1.2 Early beginnings: Continental drift and its rejection; 1.3 Emergence of the Plume hypothesis; 1.4 Predictions of the Plume hypothesis; 1.5 Lists of plumes; 1.6 Testing plume predictions; 1.7 A quick tour of Hawaii and Iceland; 1.8 Moving on: Holism and alternatives; 1.9 The Plate hypothesis; 1.10 Predictions of the Plate hypothesis; 1.11 Testing the Plate hypothesis; 1.12 Revisiting Hawaii and Iceland; 1.13 Questions and problems 1.14 Exercises for the student2: Vertical motions; 2.1 Introduction; 2.2 Predictions of the Plume hypothesis; 2.3 Predictions of the Plate hypothesis; 2.4 Comparison of the predictions of the Plume and Plate hypotheses; 2.5 Observations; 2.5.1 Classifying melting anomalies; 2.5.2 Volcanic chains with initial flood basalts; 2.5.3 Volcanic chains

	volcanic chains; 2.5.5 Extinct flood basalt provinces lacking volcanic chains; 2.5.6 Vertical motions without flood basalt magmatism; 2.6 Plume variants; 2.7 Discussion 2.8 Exercises for the student3: Volcanism; 3.1 Introduction; 3.1.1 Flood basalts and oceanic plateaus; 3.1.2 Normal or anomalous?; 3.2 Predictions of the Plume hypothesis; 3.3 Predictions of the Plate hypothesis; 3.4 Comparison of the predictions of the Plate and Plume hypotheses; 3.5 Observations; 3.5.1 Classifying melting anomalies; 3.5.2 Large-volume, sustained volcanism; 3.5.3 Large-volume, brief volcanism; 3.5.4 Small-volume, sustained volcanism; 3.5.5 Small-volume, brief volcanism; 3.6 Plume variants; 3.7 Discussion; 3.8 Exercises for the student 4: Time progressions and relative fixity of melting anomalies4.1 Introduction; 4.2 Methods; 4.2.1 Radiometric dating; 4.2.2 Earth's palaeomagnetic and spin axes; 4.2.3 True polar wander; 4.3 Predictions of the Plume hypothesis; 4.5 Melting anomalies without tracks; 4.5.2 Short-lived melting anomalies; 4.5.3 Melting anomalies with long, time-progressive; 4.5.4 Melting anomalies with long, time-progressive; 4.5.4 Melting anomalies with long, time-progressive; 4.6 Hotspot reference frames; 4.7 Plume variants; 4.7.1 "Mantle wind"; 4.7.2 Other variants 4.8 Discussion4.9 Exercises for the student; 5: Seismology; 5.1 Introduction; 5.1.2 Seismology; 5.2.2 Tomography; 5.2.3 Teleseismic tomography; 5.2.4 Whole-mantle tomography; 5.2.5 Presenting tomography results; 5.2.6 Receiver functions; 5.2.7 Shearwave splitting; 5.3 Predictions of the Plume hypothesis; 5.4 Predictions of the Plute hypothesis; 5.4 Predictions of the Plate hypothesis; 5.5 Observations; 5.5.1 Well-studied melting anomalies in remote regions	
Sommario/riassunto	Since the advent of the mantle plume hypothesis in 1971, scientists have been faced with the problem that its predictions are not confirmed by observation. For thirty years, the usual reaction has been to adapt the hypothesis in numerous ways. As a result, the multitude of current plume variants now amounts to an unfalsifiable hypothesis. In the early 21st century demand became relentless for a theory that can explain melting anomalies in a way that fits the observations naturally and is forward-predictive. From this the Plate hypothesis emerged-the exact inverse of the Plume hypothesis. The	