

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910139993803321
Autore	Burgis Michelle L
Titolo	Boundaries of discourse in the International Court of Justice [[electronic resource]] : mapping arguments in Arab territorial disputes // by Michelle L. Burgis
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Leiden ; ; Boston, : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2009
ISBN	1-282-40106-8 9786612401060 90-474-2809-9
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (340 p.)
Collana	Nijhoff eBook titles 2009
Disciplina	341.4/2
Soggetti	Boundary disputes Jurisdiction (International law)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [275]-300) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Listening for silences in and beyond the courtroom : methodological tools for understanding ICJ territorial disputes -- Between faith and place : Arab-Islamic approaches to authority and territory in theory and practice -- Sanctioning colonial legacies in the Sahara : the construction of postcolonial selfhood in the Libya/Chad territorial dispute -- (De)limiting the past for future gain : the relationship between statehood, colonialism, and oil in the Qatar v Bahrain territorial dispute -- Determining the limits of law in the Western Sahara case -- Discourses of division : law, politics, and the ICJ advisory opinion on the legal consequences of the construction of a wall in the occupied Palestinian territory.
Sommario/riassunto	How can Third World experiences of colonialism and statehood be expressed within the confines of the International Court of Justice? How has the discourse of international law developed to reflect postcolonial realities of 'universal' statehood? In a close and critical reading of four territorial disputes spanning the Arab World, Burgis explores the extent to which international law can be used to speak for and speak to non-European experiences of authority over territory. The book draws on recent, critical international legal scholarship to question the ability of

contemporary, international adjudication to address Third World grievances from the past. A comparative analysis of the cases suggests that international law remains a discourse only capable of capturing a limited range of non-European experiences during and after colonialism.
