

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910132649803321
Autore	Burke Paul <1956->
Titolo	Law's anthropology : from ethnography to expert testimony in native title // Paul Burke
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Acton, A.C.T. : , : ANU E Press, , [2011] ©2011
ISBN	1-921862-42-4
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (336 pages) : digital file(s)
Classificazione	347.9625
Disciplina	346.9404320899915
Soggetti	Evidence, Expert - Australia Forensic anthropology - Australia Aboriginal Australians - Legal status, laws, etc Native title (Australia)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (pages 281-319) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Preliminary; Acknowledgments; Lists of Maps, Tables and Figures; 1. Towards an Ethnography of Anthropology's Encounter with Modern Law; 2. Anthropological Knowledge of the Murray Islands Prior to the Mabo Case; 3. Beckett in Mabo; 4. The Anthropology of the Broome Region; 5. The Anthropology of Broome on Trial; 6. The Enigma of Traditional Western Desert Land Tenure; 7. Western Desert Ethnography on Trial; 8. Apocalypse Yulara? The emergence of a judicial discourse of 'junk' anthropology; 9. Conclusion; Bibliography; Index
Sommario/riassunto	Anthropologists have been appearing as key expert witnesses in native title claims for over 20 years. Until now, however, there has been no theoretically-informed, detailed investigation of how the expert testimony of anthropologists is formed and how it is received by judges. This book examines the structure and habitus of both the field of anthropology and the juridical field and how they have interacted in four cases, including the original hearing in the Mabo case. The analysis of background material has been supplemented by interviews with the key protagonists in each case. This allows the reader a unique, insider's perspective of the courtroom drama that unfolds in each case. The book asks, given the available ethnographic research, how will the

anthropologist reconstruct it in a way that is relevant to the legal doctrine of native title when that doctrine gives a wide leeway for interpretation on the critical questions.
