1.	Record Nr.	UNINA9910131522903321
	Autore	Poola Margus
	Titolo	Evidence in civil law : Estonia / / Margus Poola
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Institute for Local Self-Government and Public Procurement Maribor, 2015 Slovenia : , : Institute for Local Self Government and Public Procurement Maribor, , 2015
	ISBN	9789616842396 (ebook)
	Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (69 pages)
	Collana	Law & Society
	Soggetti	Law, General & Comparative Law, Politics & Government
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
	Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
	Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
	Sommario/riassunto	Since Estonia regained its independence on 20 August 1991 Estonian civil procedure has been gradually developed from the civil procedure of Estonian SSR to the modern civil procedure rules in force today. The current code of civil procedure was adopted on 20 April 2005 and came into force in 1 January 2006. Since coming into force several changes have been made to the current code with significant changes coming into force on 1 January 2009. Estonian civil procedure is mainly based on the adversarial principle, except for some specific cases and proceedings on petition where the inquisitorial principle is used. Deriving from this the parties are in most cases free to decide on what evidence to submit and whether to submit evidence at all. While the court may ask the parties to submit evidence, they are not required to do so. The situation is different in cases based on the inquisitorial principle. Estonian civil procedure does not impose many restrictions as to the kind of evidence that can be submitted. Virtually anything that can be reproduced in some way may be submitted as documentary evidence and any person who has knowledge about the facts of the case may be heard as a witness. Even the parties may be heard under oath. The Estonian Code of Civil Procedure does not set out many rules on how to evaluate the evidence submitted. The basic rule is that the

court has to assess the evidence impartially and as a whole and not give any preference to any particular piece of evidence.