

1. Record Nr.	UNINA990009514300403321
Autore	Beccaria, Cesare <1738-1794>
Titolo	Dei delitti e delle pene : con una raccolta di lettere e documenti relativi alla nascita dell'opera e alla sua fortuna nell'Europa del Settecento / Cesare Beccaria ; a cura di Franco Venturi
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Torino : Einaudi, 2007
ISBN	978-88-06-18750-7
Edizione	[N. ed.]
Descrizione fisica	XXXIX, 680 p. : ill. ; 18 cm
Collana	ET classici ; 202
Disciplina	345.001 853.6
Locazione	FLFBC
Collocazione	853.6 BECC 1(1)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Italiano
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia

2.	Record Nr.	UNISALENTO991002318819707536
	Autore	Nicolini, Fausto
	Titolo	Il Croce minore : precedono : Ricordi autobiografici dell'autore : seguono : La farsa liviana e scritti vari / Fausto Nicolini
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Milano ; Napoli : Ricciardi, 1963
	Descrizione fisica	425 p. : 5 tav. ; 23 cm.
	Disciplina	195
	Soggetti	Croce, Benedetto
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Italiano
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
3.	Record Nr.	UNINA9910781056403321
	Titolo	2009 Annual Review of Development Effectiveness : : Achieving Sustainable Development
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Washington, D.C. : , : The World Bank, , 2009
	ISBN	1-282-46129-X 9786612461293 0-8213-8136-9
	Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (147 pages)
	Collana	Independent Evaluation Group Studies
	Disciplina	658.57
	Soggetti	Economic development projects - Finance - Evaluation Loans, Foreign - Developing countries
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
	Note generali	At head of title: IEG World Bank, IFC, MIGA.
	Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 145-147).
	Nota di contenuto	Contents; Abbreviations; Acknowledgments; Foreword; Executive Summary; Management Comments; Chairperson's Comments: Committee on Development Effectiveness (CODE); 1 Introduction; PART

I: TRACKING BANK PERFORMANCE; Box 2.1 IEG Outcome Ratings; Box 2.2 What Does a Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory Project Look Like?; Figure 2.1 World Bank Project Ratings Have Improved since 1993; Figure 2.2 Percent Satisfactory Outcome Ratings by Region and Sector, 2006-08 versus 2003-05; Figure 2.3 Improvement in World Bank Project Ratings since 1993, Alternative Measures
 Table 2.1 Average Annual Changes in Ratings between 1993 and 2008
 Table 2.2 Changes in Project Composition Account for a Small Portion of Overall Improvement; Box 2.3 What Accounts for Substantially Worse Outcomes in Health and Public Sector Projects?; Figure 2.4 Percentage of Operations Judged Satisfactory, by Year of Entry and Exit; Figure 2.5 Agriculture: Percentage of Operations Judged Satisfactory, by Year of Entry and Exit; Box 2.4 What Do Moderately Satisfactory and Unsatisfactory Country Programs Look Like?; Figure 2.6 Mixed Performance in Country Program Ratings over Time
 Box 3.1 Recent Evaluations Highlight Problems in M&E
 Figure 3.1 M&E Was Rated High or Substantial in Only about a Third of Projects Exiting in 2007 and 2008; Box 3.2 The Paradox of Satisfactory Performance and Unsatisfactory M&E; Table 3.1 The Bank's Progress in Implementing IDA15 Recommendations; Box 3.3 Reasons for Impact Evaluation; Table 3.2 Summary of Data Used for Evaluating Project Outcomes, by Region; Table 3.3 Summary of Evaluation Types; Figure 3.2 Timeline of Key Project Ratings; Figure 3.3 Bad Information Tends to Be Revealed Only at the End of Implementation
 Table 3.4 Low Ratings at Project Midpoint Foreshadow Worse Outcomes
 Table 3.5 Nearly Half of Projects Are Ultimately Rated Lower than Projected at Project Midpoint; Box 3.4 True Pilot Projects: Why Are They Not Used More Often?; Figure 3.4 Percentage of Projects with Economic Rate of Return Estimates, by Year of Approval; PART II: ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT-LESSONS FROM THE BANK'S EXPERIENCE; Figure 4.1 Absolute Changes in CO2 Emissions and Income, 1992-2004; Figure 4.2 The World Bank Group and the Environment: A Summary Timeline of Activities
 Box 4.1 IFC and MIGA Have Also Strengthened Attention to the Environment in Recent Years
 Figure 4.3 Bank Commitments to ENRM Operations, Fiscal Years 1990-2008; Figure 4.4 Bank-Implemented ENRM Operations by Source of Financing, 1995-2001 and 2002-08; Figure 4.5 Bank-Implemented ENRM Operations by Environmental Theme, 1995-2001 and 2002-08; Box 4.2 Lessons from the Midterm Review of the GEF's Resource Allocation Framework; Figure 4.6 Bank-Implemented Global and Regional ENRM Operations by Region, 1995-2001 and 2002-08; Box 4.3 Mainstreaming Environmental Themes into Bank-Supported Projects
 Box 4.4 Meaningful Mainstreaming: Enhancing the Quality of Life through an Integrated Health-Environment Project in Eritrea

Sommario/riassunto

The Annual Review of Development Effectiveness 2009 presents evidence on the World Bank's efforts in two areas. Part I tracks the outcomes of Bank projects and country programs and the evolution of monitoring and evaluation (M&E). Part II examines the Bank's support for environmentally sustainable development compatible with economic growth and poverty reduction. The Bank's project performance rebounded in 2008, allaying concerns about the weakened performance in 2007. As previous ARDEs have shown, project performance has been improving gradually for 15 years according to the traditional measure -- percent of projects with satisfactory (versus unsatisfactory) outcomes. But IEG ratings of M&E quality for completed projects indicate considerable room for progress. Information to assess impacts continues to be lacking although preliminary data suggests

improvements in baseline data collection. Bank support for the environment has recovered since 2002 due to new sources of concessional finance. The outcomes of environment projects have improved in recent years. A growing number of regional projects are addressing the shared use of water resources. New global partnerships are deepening the Bank's involvement in climate change issues. But M&E remains weak: three-quarters of environment-related projects - those managed by sectors other than environment - lack reporting of environmental outcomes.
