1. Record Nr. UNINA990005531010403321

Autore Brommer, Frank

Titolo Hephaistos: Der Schmiedegott in der antiken Kunst / Frank Brommer

Pubbl/distr/stampa Mainz am Rhein, : Philipp von Zabern, c1978

ISBN 3-8053-0334-3

Descrizione fisica 261 p., 56 tav. ; 32 cm

Locazione FLFBC

Collocazione ARCH, M 054 4

Lingua di pubblicazione Tedesco

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Record Nr. UNINA9910345153403321

Autore Whittington Keith E

Titolo Political foundations of judicial supremacy: the presidency, the

Supreme Court, and constitutional leadership in U.S. history / / Keith E.

Whittington

Pubbl/distr/stampa Princeton, N.J., : Princeton University Press, c2007

ISBN 9786612820908

9781282820906 1282820907 9781400827756 1400827752

Edizione [Course Book]

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (320 p.)

Collana Princeton studies in American politics

Disciplina 347.73/12

Soggetti Judicial review - United States

Political questions and judicial power - United States

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Note generali Description based upon print version of record.

Nota di bibliografia

Nota di contenuto

Sommario/riassunto

Includes bibliographical references and index.

The politics of constitutional meaning -- The construction of constitutional regimes -- The reconstruction of judicial authority -- The judiciary in the politics of opposition -- The growth of judicial authority -- The dynamics of constitutional authority.

Should the Supreme Court have the last word when it comes to interpreting the Constitution? The justices on the Supreme Court certainly seem to think so--and their critics say that this position threatens democracy. But Keith Whittington argues that the Court's iustices have not simply seized power and circumvented politics. The justices have had power thrust upon them--by politicians, for the benefit of politicians. In this sweeping political history of judicial supremacy in America, Whittington shows that presidents and political leaders of all stripes have worked to put the Court on a pedestal and have encouraged its justices to accept the role of ultimate interpreters of the Constitution. Whittington examines why presidents have often found judicial supremacy to be in their best interest, why they have rarely assumed responsibility for interpreting the Constitution, and why constitutional leadership has often been passed to the courts. The unprecedented assertiveness of the Rehnquist Court in striking down acts of Congress is only the most recent example of a development that began with the founding generation itself. Presidential bids for constitutional leadership have been rare, but reflect the temporary political advantage in doing so. Far more often, presidents have cooperated in increasing the Court's power and encouraging its activism. Challenging the conventional wisdom that judges have usurped democracy, Whittington shows that judicial supremacy is the product of democratic politics.